Rock County Caregivers

A Brief Report Regarding Survey of Rock County Caregivers August 2010- December 2010

Kayla Dunning, Social Work Student¹ Jeannine Rowe, PhD, MSW

University of Wisconsin-Whitewater Department of Social Work

April 30, 2012

¹ The report was compiled by the student as part of an independent study course. Data analysis and presentation of information was conducted under the supervision of the Social Work Professor.

Background

The Rock County Council on Aging (RCCOA) conducted a survey of informal caregivers who resided in their county. The goal of the survey, conducted between August 2010 and December 2010 was three-fold. The council was interested in: (1) identifying the caregiver population, (2) identifying the tasks and types of activities caregivers performed; and (3) identifying the unique needs of their caregivers. The council was particularly interested in the needs of family caregivers who cared for adult relatives or those ages 18 and older.

RCCOA approached the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater evaluation team and requested assistance with analyzing the survey data. The evaluation team agreed and conducted exploratory analysis to address three questions: (1) who comprises the population that cares for adults; (2) what types of activities are performed by caregivers; and (3) what are the unique needs of caregivers.

Methods

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics was utilized to address the three research questions. A series of univariate analyses were performed and information is presented in tables. Qualitative responses provided by caregivers were also examined and are presented.

Sample

During the enrollment period, 268 informal caregivers (i.e., unpaid or family member) completed a caregiver questionnaire developed by the Rock County Council on Aging. The questionnaire includes questions that ask caregivers about the things they do, level of care they provide, and ability level of their care receivers. Caregivers were recruited multiple ways, including flyers, word of mouth, and through community agencies that served older adults. The survey was available for completion electronically and in paper format.

1

Two-hundred twelve caregivers (79%) completed the survey via *Survey Monkey*, an online surveying tool. Fifty-six respondents (21%) completed a paper version of the survey. The majority of caregivers in the sample (n=257) were caring for family members age 18 or older. Because RCCOA was particularly interested in the needs of family caregivers caring for adults, information present below includes only data for caregivers who provided care for someone over the age of 18 (n=257).

Caregiver Sample

Table 1 includes demographic information for the 257 caregivers caring for a relative age 18 or older.

Characteristic	Frequency Number (%)
Age	
18-21	3 (1.2)
26-35	8 (3.1)
36-45	27 (10.5)
46-55	63 (24.5)
56-65	77 (30)
66-75	40 (15.6)
76-80	17 (6.6)
81-85	6 (2.3)
86-90	3 (1.2)
Missing	13 (5.1)
Gender	Number (%)
Female	202 (78.6)
Male	43 (16.7)
Missing	12 (4.7)
Race	Number (%)
White	236 (91.8)
Hispanic/Latino	2 (.8)
African American	1 (.4)
Asian/Pacific Islander	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native	4 (1.6)
More than one race	1 (.4)
Missing	13 (5)
Marital Status	Number (%)
Married (living with spouse/partner)	180 (70)
Married (not living with spouse/partner)	5 (1.9)
Divorced/separated	26 (10.1)
Widowed	12 (4.7)
Single (never married)	22 (8.6)
Missing	12 (4.7)

Table 1. Caregiver demographics (n=257).

Employment	Num	bar(0/)	
Employment		Number (%) 92 (35.8)	
Working full time		· · · · ·	
Working part time		(11.7)	
Not working/unemployed		(7.8)	
Retired		(31.5)	
Not applicable		(1.2)	
Missing	31	(12.1)	
Residence Location		. .	
City of Janesville		5 (44.7)	
City of Beloit		(11.7)	
City of Milton		5 (5.8)	
City of Edgerton		(2.7)	
City of Evansville	15	5 (5.8)	
City of Footville	3	(1.2)	
City of Orfordville	1	. (.4)	
Rural Janesville	15	5 (5.8)	
Rural Beloit	5	(1.9)	
Rural Milton	7	(2.7)	
Rural Clinton	2	2 (.8)	
Rural Edgerton	6	(2.3)	
Rural Evansville	3 (1.2)		
Rural Footville	1 (.4)		
Rural Orfordville	1 (.4)		
Other rural (not listed)	8 (3.1)		
Not in Rock County	9 (3.5)		
Not in Wisconsin	2 (.8)		
Missing	12 (4.7)		
Relationship to Care Receiver	Male	Female	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number (%)	Number (%)	
Spouse/partner	17 (41.5)	46 (25.1)	
Mother or father	13 (31.7)	89 (48.6)	
Mother in law or father in law	3 (7.3)	9 (4.9)	
Grandparent	0(0)	3 (1.6)	
Adult son or daughter	4 (9.8)	15 (8.2)	
Other relative	2 (4.9)	12 (6.6)	
Friend or neighbor	2 (4.9)	4 (2.2)	
Other	0 (0)	5 (2.7)	
Residence Relationship		1ber (%)	
Live with them		(47.1)	
Less than 20 minutes away	76 (29.6)		
Between 20 and 60 minutes away	23 (8.9)		
More than 2 hours away	2 (.8)		
Live in another state	1(.4)		
Missing	34 (13.2)		
Length of Caregiving		Number (%)	
Less than one year		23 (8.9)	
1-5 years		111 (43.2)	
6-10 years		52 (20.2)	
11-15 years		9 (3.5)	
Over 15 years		32 (12.5)	
Missing		30 (11.7)	
moone	30	50 (11.7)	

The majority of caregivers are between the ages of 46 and 65 (54.5%). Of the 257 caregivers, 202 are female (78.6%) and 43 are male (16.7%). The majority of the respondents are married (70%), white (91.8%), working full-time (35.8%), and live in the city of Janesville (44.7%).

Almost half (44.4%) of the caregivers provide care for a parent or parent in-law (n=114), which is overwhelmingly provided by females (n=98). A quarter of caregivers (24.5%) provided care for their spouse or partner. In terms of gender and care, patterns were similar to those observed in the adult children distribution. Forty-six women (73%) care for their spouse or partner and 17 men (27%) care for their spouse or partner.

With regard to living arrangement, 121 (47%) caregivers report the care receiver resides in the same household.. In instances where the care receiver resides outside the caregiver's home, 76 (29.6%) live less than 20 minutes away, and 23 (8.9%) live between 20 and 60 minutes from the caregiver.

In terms of length of time caregiving, there was substantial variation. The majority of caregivers have been caring for a family member between 0-5 years (52.1%). Many caregivers have also been providing care between 6-10 years (20.2%). Just over an eighth of the caregivers have been providing care for over 15 years (12.5%).

Care Receiver Sample

Table 2 includes demographic information for care receivers or those being cared for by

the 257 caregivers.

Characteristic	Frequency Number (%)
Age	
18-21	4 (1.6)
22-25	5 (1.9)
26-35	7 (2.7)
36-45	5 (1.9)
46-55	12 (4.7)
56-65	20 (7.8)
66-75	36 (14)
76-80	38 (14.8)
81-90	67 (26.1)
91 +	30 (11.7)
Missing	33 (12.9)
Gender	Number (%)
Female	122 (47.5)
Male	98 (38.1)
Missing	37 (14.4)
Illness/Diagnosis/Condition	
Alzheimer's disease/Memory loss	94 (36.6)
Parkinson's disease	19 (7.4)
Arthritis	70 (27.2)
Diabetes	51 (19.8)
Heart Disease	58 (22.6)
Cancer	25 (9.7)
Stroke	28 (10.9)
Frail Elder	66 (25.7)
Short Term (broken arm, hip replacement)	17 (6.6)
AIDS	0 (0)
Traumatic Brain Injury	10 (3.9)
Mental Illness	17 (6.6)
Developmental Disability (mental retardation)	19 (17.4)
Sensory Impairment	42 (16.3)
Physical Impairment (vision, hearing)	21 (8.2)
Epilepsy	6 (2.3)
Autism	6 (2.3)
Multiple Health Issues	27 (10.5)
Other	57 (22.2)

Table 2. Care Receiver demographics (n=257).

The majority of care receivers (74.3% or 191 individuals) are 56 years or older. Thirteen percent of the care receivers are under the age of 55. Of those 56 years or older, 36 (18.8%) are

between the ages of 66-75 years, 38 (19.9%) are between the ages of 76-80 years, 67 (35.1%) are between the ages of 81-90, and 30 (15.7%) are age 91 or older.

Females comprise the majority of care receivers (47.5%). The top five illnesses reported

by caregivers about their care receiver included: Alzheimer's Disease/memory loss (36.6%),

Arthritis (27.2%), Diabetes (19.8%), Heart Disease (22.6%), and Frail Elder (25.7%). Twenty-

seven respondents (10.5%) reported their care receivers had multiple health issues

Results

Hours, Activities, Outcomes

Table 3 provides information regarding the hours of care and the activities performed.

Characteristic	Frequency Number (%)
Hours of Supervision or Care	
0-7	51 (19.8)
8-14	39 (15.2)
15-21	23 (8.9)
22-36	20 (7.8)
36-50	20 (7.8)
More than 50	23 (8.9)
24 hours /7 days a week	50 (19.5)
Missing	31 (12.1)
Activities	
Assist with Scheduling Appointments	212 (82.5)
House Chores	177 (68.9)
Manage Diet	145 (56.4)
Personal Care	105 (40.9)
Do Shopping	197 (76.7)
Managing/Administering/Filling Meds	165 (64.2)
Managing Person's Financial and Legal Affairs	165 (64.2)
Providing Emotional Reassurance/ Companionship	203 (79)
Assistance with Transferring (such as bed to chair)	75 (29.2)
Providing/Arranging Transportation	198 (77)
Mow Lawn, Shovel, Outside Homecare	122 (47.5)
Home Maintenance/Repair	149 (58)
Providing Healthcare Procedures	74 (28.8)
Managing Socialization	155 (60.3)
Managing Pastoral Care	62 (24.1)
Arranging/Monitoring Outside Help or Services	133 (51.8)
Other	30 (11.7)

Table 3. Caregiver Hours and Activities (n=257).

The majority of caregivers report providing between 0-14 hours of supervision or care (35%). A large portion of caregivers (19.5%) also report providing around the clock care 7 days a week for their care receiver. In terms of activities performed, caregivers were asked to check all tasks they perform. The data clearly documents caregivers assist their care receivers with a wide variety of activities important for daily living (ADLs) and instrumental for daily living (IADLs). Assistance with personal care was provided by almost half the caregivers (40.9%).

Assistance with IADLs was quite high. Almost all caregivers (n=212) assist their care receiver with scheduling appointments. The overwhelming majority assists with scheduling appointments (82.5%), provide companionship (79%), arrange or provide transportation (77%), assist with shopping (76.7%), managing medications (64.2%), and manage their care receivers' financial or legal affairs (64.2%). One hundred-thirty three (51.8%) arranges or monitors outside help.

The outcomes associated with caregiving vary among caregivers. Table 4 documents both positive and negative outcomes experienced by caregivers.

Characteristic			uency oer (%)	
Experience of Aspects		146	(56.9)	
Fulfillment of obligation			(56.8)	
Gaining satisfaction			(61.9)	
Feeling positive			(47.1) (56)	
Feeling appreciated			(68.9)	
Caring for someone love/care for			(41.2)	
Having additional time with them			(39.3)	
Gaining knowledge and skills			(51)	
Giving back			33.9)	
Improving relationship-receiver		```````````````````````````````````````	(21)	
Reconnecting- receiver				
Improving relationship-family	53 (20.6) 62 (24.1)			
Getting to know receiver better	02 (24.1) 115 (44.7)			
Learning about self and growing	82 (31.9)			
Having a purpose	9 (3.5)			
No positive aspects	13 (5.1)			
Other	13 (5.1)			
	Not at all	Some	Quite a bit	A great deal
Challenges of Caregiving	Number (%)	Number (%)	Number (%)	Number (%)
Physical strain	28 (10.9)	102 (39.7)	62 (24.1)	16 (6.2)
Financial strain	76 (29.6)	80 (31.1)	29 (11.3)	19 (7.4)
Emotional upset/guilt	24 (9.3)	105 (40.9)	53 (20.6)	26 (10.1)
Interference w/ social life	34 (13.2)	99 (38.5)	47 (18.3)	29 (11.3)
Interference w/ family Interference w/ free time	58 (22.6)	90 (35)	44 (17.1)	16 (6.2)
Interference w/ Iree time	17 (6.6) 83 (32.3)	108 (42) 79 (30.7)	55 (21.4) 15 (5.8)	31 (12.1) 11 (4.3)
Physical health changes	60 (23.3)	105 (40.9)	28 (10.9)	10 (3.9)
Reluctance to ask for help	47 (18.3)	97 (37.7)	39 (15.2)	20 (7.8)
Lack of assistance	51 (19.8)	85 (33.1)	41 (16)	25 (9.7)
Unappreciated	73 (28.4)	80 (31.1)	32 (12.5)	18 (7)
Feeling guilty about asking help	76 (29.6)	66 (25.7)	27 (10.5)	23 (8.9)

Table 4. Caregiver outcomes associated with caregiving (n=257).

The data documents caregivers' experience of caregiving is both uplifting and

challenging. Regarding positive aspects, caregivers were asked to check from a series of possible responses. In terms of positive feeling about providing care, almost two-thirds (61.9%) report "gaining satisfaction" from providing care. Over half report feeling appreciated (56%) and just under half report feeling positive (47.1%). Many caregivers report they provide care due to sense of obligation (56.8%). A third report having a purpose (31.9%) and the experience as providing an opportunity to improve the relationship with the care receiver (20.6%).

There are also many challenges of caregiving. The majority of negative outcomes tend to center around stress burden and time burden. Negative effects on caregiver health also are present. Almost three-quarters of caregivers (184 or 71.5%) identify emotional stress. Interference with own life or responsibilities is also experienced by the majority of caregivers. One hundred ninety-four (75.5%) report interference with free time, 175 caregivers (68.1%) report interference with their social life, 150 (58.4%) report interference with attending to their own family, and 105 (41.%) report interference with their work. Physical health changes were documented for 143 (55.6%) of the caregivers.

Qualitative Comments

Caregivers were asked to respond to a series of open-ended questions. These questions were designed to capture "deeper" meaning and experiences regarding caregiving. Table 5 displays caregiver responses recorded in the "other" or "comments" section of the survey questionnaire.

Question	Similar Responses/Themes
What kinds of activities or assistance do you perform for your care receiver?	 Caring for receiver's pet(s) Attending medical appointments Digitally remove fecal matter Feed Finding/hiring staff to come to home and assist with care receiver
Which of these challenges have you experienced as a result of your caregiving responsibilities?	 Lack of time to do it all (to complete tasks, work, personal/family care, caregiver meetings) Emotional toll Money/Finances
When employed/working, did you (or do you) do any of the following because of your caregiving responsibilities?	 Work longer hours at night to keep up on work (missed because of caregiver responsibilities) Retired/Self employed No longer work outside of home

	Indutoring roc	nancac inclu	dod in curvov	anactionnairo
1 4105.2.3	μ			questionnaire.

What is the person's illness/diagnosis/condition that you are caring for?	 Both parents require help Caring for more than one person There was no option for caring for more than one person on survey Cerebral Palsy COPD Pulmonary Fibrosis
How do you feel about your current situation as a family caregiver?	 Tough Overwhelmed Siblings do not offer support
To what extent do you experience the following challenges as a result of your family caregiving responsibilities?	 Family members don't get involved Eating healthier because of preparing diabetic meal plan for receiver Fortunate for nieces that help Not enough information Activities planned around receiver's schedule
As a caregiver, have you experienced any of the following aspects of family caregiving?	 Not an obligation/honor privilege despite big demands
Which of the following resources do you currently use, or would you find useful if they were available?	 Help and information that doesn't cost a lot Care receiver does not like/want help in the home What care receiver wants differs from what caregiver wants Finding right help/agency for needs

Similar responses or themes of the responses were given in the right hand column to better display the needs caregivers may not have had as a choice for their response to the question. Overall trends in responses were lack of time, lack of funds, and lack of family support. However, there was also a common response that included positive feelings, such as the care of the receiver was not an obligation to the caregiver.

Discussion

The goals of the survey research conducted by Rock County Council on Aging were to identify the population of caregivers in their county, identify the tasks they perform, and further identify their unique needs. The findings from this report provide a snapshot of the Rock County caregivers. Caregivers in the country are representative of the larger population. Similar to national data, the majority of caregivers are female and adult children of the care receiver. National data also documents similar outside obligations. The large majority of Rock County caregivers are full time adult-children who are full-time employees faced with the challenges of caregiving, working, and attending to the needs of their own families (Wolff & Kasper, 2006). The types of tasks, length of time caregiving and outcomes of family caregiving are also quite similar to the larger population of family caregivers. National studies document significant assistance with care receiver ADLs and IADLs (Wolff & Kasper, 2006).

The outcomes experienced by caregivers in Rock County also mirror data reported in other studies. Caregiving is both uplifting and stressful (Schulz & Sherwood, 2008). Many caregivers in this study experienced both positive and negative impacts of caregiving. Major concerns expressed by caregivers includes the infringement caregiving has had on time to attend to their own activities, negative emotional effects, and changes in physical health. Qualitative comments provided by caregivers confirm both the positive and negative effects.

Conclusion

There is great variation in caregiving and the experience. Caregivers vary in the intensity and types of tasks they perform. The outcomes of caregiving also vary. For some caregiving is uplifting and rewarding. For others, negative physical, mental, and financial outcomes are experienced. It is hoped the information presented will be help guide RCCOA in their continued efforts to serve family caregivers.

References

- Schulz, R., & Sherwood, P. (2008). Physical and mental health effects of family caregiving. *American Journal of Nursing, 108* (9, Supplement), 23-27.
- Wolff, J. L., & Kasper, J. D. (2006). Caregivers of frail elders: Updating a national profile. *The Gerontologist*, *46*(3), 344-356. doi: 10.1093/geront/46.3.344